

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

98. "NO interview"
3/20/06

The applicant respectfully thanks the examiner for the quick turn-around action on the applicant's latest amendment, and for the very helpful information over the telephone on February 15, 2006, on how to answer the Advisory Action.

The instruction and location to add or delete the paragraph on page 10 of the response is not present. Further, all the changes should be placed on one sheet and labeled "Specification" on a separate sheet. The examiner noticed that more changes to the specification are present in the remarks of page 15. The applicant has put all the changes to the specification in the "Amendments to the Specification" section in this amendment, as shown on the USPTO's web site on the Sample Format for Revised Amendment Practice.

No claim text shall be presented for any claim in the claim listing with the status of "canceled" Canceled claims 2 and 3 have text presented. The applicant has deleted text in the canceled claims.

The new limitation "each said axis of said acute angle bends generally parallel to each other, and generally perpendicular to said right angled bend" in claim 1, lines 13-15, require further search and or consideration. Further, the deleted limitation "unequal" in claim 1, line 10 changes the scope of the claim and requires further search and or consideration. As per the February 15th telephone conversation, the applicant has used the claims that were sent in the applicant's amendment mailed July 21, 2005 as a starting point in amending the claims. Therefore, the new limitation "each said axis..." is deleted and the deleted limitation "unequal" is restored.